

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/452,284 | 11/30/1999 | MURALI DUVVURY | CISCO-1656 1103 | |
| 75 | 07/16/2003 | | | |
| DAVID B RIT | ГСНІЕ | EXAMINER NGUYEN, BRIAN D | | |
| D'ALESSAND: P O BOX 6406 | RO & RITCHIE | | | |
| SAN JOSE, CA | - | | | |
| , | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2661 | A A |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003 | \[\frac{1}{1}\] |
| | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | T | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------|-----------|---|----|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | Application | No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | | 09/452,284 | | DUVVURY, MURALI | W) | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | / | | | |
| | | Brian D Nguy | | 2661 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>the election filed 5/2/03</i> . | | | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . | | | | | | | | |
| | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,15-20,27-32 and 36</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,15-20,27-32 and 36</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are object | ed to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject | to restriction and/or | r election requ | uirement. | | • | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | _ | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO) | Review (PTO-948) O-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u> | 5) | | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-15 drawing correction . | | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 5-14, 21-26, 33-36, and 37 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37

CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected automatically correcting internet protocol address

conflicts among network devices, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election

was made without traverse in Paper No. 10.

Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the

presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any

errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the

subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

Claims 28-32 recite the limitation "the apparatus" in line 1. There is insufficient

antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2661

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-4, 15-20, 27-32, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bestavros et al (6,370,584) in view of Kanai (5,912,891) or Nilakantan et al (5,526,489).

Regarding claims 1-4, Bestavros discloses a cluster of network devices comprising assigning private IP addresses (IP1) to the first network device and communicating with the first network device using the private IP address wherein the first network device is a LAN switch (see figures 1 & 3; col. 1, lines 34-37 & 62-67; col. 2, lines 12-18; col. 3, lines 56-60; and col. 8. lines 33-34). Bestavros does not specifically disclose calculating the private IP address as a function of the MAC address wherein the private IP address is calculated by adding the values of one or more bytes of the MAC address to a base private IP address. However, Kanai teaches of calculating the private IP address as a function of the MAC address wherein the private IP address is calculated by adding the values of one or more bytes of the MAC address to a base private IP address. For example, the IP address of element 112 is "IP.110.2" based on the MAC address "MAC.110.2". The values "110.2" is added to the IP address (see figure 1 and col. 8, lines 54-62). Nilakantan also teaches of assigning an IP address based on the MAC address (see col. 6, lines 8-9). Therefore, it would has been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to assign the private IP address as a function of the MAC address as taught by Kanai and Nilakantan in the system of Bestavros with the motivation being

Art Unit: 2661

to simplify the assigning process because each network device has a distinguish MAC address that can be used for assigning the IP address.

Regarding claims 15-20, claims 15-20 are the cluster of network devices claims that have substantially all the limitations of the respective method claims 1-4, thus are subject to the same rejection.

Regarding claims 27-32, claims 27-32 are the network device claims that have substantially all the limitations of the respective method claims 1-4, thus are subject to the same rejection.

Regarding claim 36, claim 36 is a computer program claims that has substantially all the limitations of the respective method claim 1, thus is subject to the same rejection.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Attanasio et al (5,371,852), Pedersen (5,862,348), Bestavros et al (6,370,584), Chung et al (6,470,389), and Bhaskaran (6,266,335) are all cited to show network devices in a cluster which are considered pertinent to the claimed invention.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian D Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-5133. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-6:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Olms can be reached on (703) 305-4703. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 2661

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

BN

July 13, 2003

Brian Nguyen

Page 5

Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson. MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application